

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/481,120	01/11/2000	MICHAEL DELK	1780/OF908	5209	
75	90 10/06/2003		EXAMINER		
DARBY & DARBY			SIRMONS, KEVIN C		
805 THIRD AV NEW YORK, 1			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3763	$\overline{\gamma}$	
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003	[8	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)	2			
Office Action Summary		,120	DELK ET AL.				
		ner	Art Unit				
		C. Sirmons	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	nunication appears on	the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	i			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU - Extensions of time may be available under the provise after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cook of the period for reply specified above, the maximum of the provise after the provise	JNICATION. ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ommunication. by (30) days, a reply within the en statutory period will apply and eply will, by statute, cause the hs after the mailing date of this	event, however, may a statutory minimum of th d will expire SIX (6) MC application to become	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this commun	ication.			
1) Responsive to communication (s) filed on <u>14 July 2003</u>	<u>3</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	2b) This action	is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	Aba andiantian						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 12-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
<u> </u>	S/are williorawn from	consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 12-16 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to		n requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
 Certified copies of the prior 	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the prio	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)	•	•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144)			w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152				
1000							

Application/Control Number: 09/481,120

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kullas U.S. Pat. No. 6,436,072 in view of Dennehey et al 4,201,406.

Kullas discloses a pumping unit (1) having an inlet (end connected to 10) and an outlet (end connected to 12), a handpiece (16) and tubing (12) connecting the outlet of said pumping unit to said handpiece, said pumping unit including a support (18) for the pumping unit, a flexible tube (10) connecting at one end to said inlet, and a spike (14) connected to the other end of said flexible tube (note: The spike of Kullas is fully capable of being adapted to penetrate a fitting in said irrigation bag. Further, applicant has not claimed a fitting.) Kullas does not disclose a lubricant (silicone) coating on the spike. Dennehey discloses a lubricating material that may be applied to the spike (col. 3, lines 5-20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the spike of Kullas with a lubricant as taught by Dennehey so that the spike can be easily inserted into the irrigation bag (col. 3, lines 5-20). As to claims 15-16, (fig. 1).

As to claim 14, it is the examiner's position that a minimal portion of the spike can be coated with a lubricant thus enabling the spike to remain in frictional engagement with the fitting to support the weight of the spike and the flexible tubing. However, if one of ordinary skill in

Application/Control Number: 09/481,120

Art Unit: 3763

the art would disagree, then it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the spike of Kullas with the spike (22) as taught by Dennehey for enabling the tube to be coupled to the irrigation bag with a snug pressure fit thus supporting the weight of the spike and flexible tubing (col. 3, lines 25-29 and fig. 1).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claims 21-23 have been canceled from co-pending application 09/688,178. Therefore, the double patenting rejection has been withdrawn.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 3763

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Kevin C. Sirmons whose telephone number is (703) 306-5410. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

Kevin C. Sirmons Patent Examiner

10/1/03

PRIMARY EXAMINER